



Performance Clauses Essential In Contract

By: Stephen Rushmore, MAI, CHA - HVS International - New York

When you turn the keys of your hotel over to a management company, you expect the property will be competently operated. I define competence as the ability to maximize long-term revenues while minimizing long-term expenses. While most hotel management companies are able to operate in accordance with this definition, what do you do when your operator does not? Hopefully, you have included one or more performance clauses within the management contract, which will enable you to terminate the operator and find someone who will do a better job.

Most hotel management companies will not voluntarily include a performance clause within their "standard" contracts. This provision usually comes at the insistence of the owner and generally requires intensive negotiations to structure a workable arrangement whereby the hotel owner is protected from an incompetent operator, and the management company has a performance standard that is fair and related to events and circumstances that are controllable. Hotel management contract performance clauses typically contain four components: the criteria standard; an implementation period; ability for operator to cure; and exceptions to termination.

There are a number of criteria utilized to structure a management company's performance clause. The simplest and what seems to have become the most popular is the RevPAR (revenue per

available room) standard. This clause identifies several nearby hotels comparable to the subject property. The RevPAR for this comparable set is determined periodically and compared to the RevPAR of the subject property in the form of a RevPAR yield (the RevPAR of the subject property divided by the RevPAR of the comparable set). Should this RevPAR yield fall below some stipulated level, a default occurs that could lead to a termination by the owner. The stipulated RevPAR yield used as the standard often depends on the current RevPAR of the comparable set when the contract is negotiated, but most well-run hotels should achieve a RevPAR yield of 100%.

While the RevPAR standard is simple to compute, I generally do not recommend using it because it incentivizes the operator to focus only on the revenue line and ignore the total cost of achieving a predetermined level of revenue. An unscrupulous operator could artificially inflate RevPAR by overspending in areas such as marketing, service and amenities.

A more relevant standard is to define a level of profitability (net income) that the operator must achieve not to go into default. Usually, the owner wants this standard to be an amount sufficient to cover the existing debt service plus a return on equity. Operators tend to insist on a standard that would include only expenses they have direct control over and focus on the operating profit line. In either

case, a predetermined monetary amount needs to be agreed upon and stipulated in the contract. This amount may sometimes be adjusted for inflation over the term of the agreement - but usually not.

Other standards that I have seen include a defined net income as a percentage of total revenue. The object of this standard is to have an operator maintain a certain level of profitability irrespective of the length of the contract. This eliminates the need to adjust the previous standard for inflation. However, the operator can sometimes manipulate a defined profit percentage by, for example, decreasing the amount of food and beverage sales (this will generally increase the bottom line profit percentage).

If you want your operator to maintain a certain quality standard for the product and the service, I have seen performance clauses tied to a specified rating from a government rating department or private rating service such as Mobile or AAA.

Once the standard is agreed upon, most operators will insist on an implementation period. Usually, hotel management companies are given one to three years to achieve the specified standard after taking over the operation of the property. For a new hotel, this accounts for the normal build-up period, and for an existing hotel, it enables the operator to implement new systems and procedures. In addition, a default period of two of three years is

CANADIAN LODGING OUTLOOK HVS INTERNATIONAL - CANADA

December 2004	Number of Rooms	Occupancy Rate (%)		Average Room Rates (\$)		RevPAR (\$)		Room Supply % change	Room Demand % change
		2004	2003	2004	2003	2004	2003		
Nova Scotia Area	1,515	33.2%	34.0%	\$70.96	\$72.29	\$23.56	\$24.58	0.6%	-1.7%
Halifax, NS	2,169	41.2%	38.4%	\$105.05	\$105.39	\$43.28	\$40.47	2.7%	10.4%
Montreal, QC	14,778	45.5%	44.4%	\$111.63	\$109.06	\$50.79	\$48.42	1.0%	3.4%
Quebec City, QC	3,871	43.3%	41.1%	\$106.51	\$103.71	\$46.12	\$42.62	0.0%	5.3%
Quebec Area	3,383	45.5%	41.6%	\$85.86	\$82.91	\$39.07	\$34.49	0.6%	10.2%
Toronto Downtown	12,287	46.8%	48.9%	\$139.11	\$131.87	\$65.10	\$64.48	0.7%	-3.6%
Toronto North/East	6,825	43.1%	44.0%	\$106.78	\$103.32	\$46.02	\$45.46	-3.4%	-5.3%
Toronto Airport/West	7,248	53.8%	50.3%	\$103.21	\$103.25	\$55.53	\$51.93	6.2%	13.8%
Ottawa, ON	7,937	45.3%	41.9%	\$122.06	\$119.03	\$55.29	\$49.87	-0.1%	7.9%
Ontario East	3,839	39.1%	36.5%	\$86.29	\$82.63	\$33.74	\$30.16	0.0%	7.0%
Ontario Southwest	8,692	38.9%	40.2%	\$83.55	\$83.25	\$32.50	\$33.47	1.7%	-1.5%
Ontario North	5,663	39.1%	36.5%	\$75.27	\$72.56	\$29.43	\$26.48	-0.4%	6.8%
Niagara Falls, ON	7,489	33.5%	32.0%	\$111.92	\$102.68	\$37.49	\$32.86	4.4%	9.1%
Ontario Central	8,170	44.3%	42.8%	\$98.95	\$97.02	\$43.83	\$41.52	0.0%	3.4%
Winnipeg, MB	4,014	47.5%	45.0%	\$84.90	\$84.16	\$40.33	\$37.87	0.0%	5.6%
Regina/Saskatoon, SK	4,055	42.1%	41.7%	\$84.59	\$82.54	\$35.61	\$34.42	0.0%	1.2%
Calgary, AB	5,416	42.8%	43.3%	\$108.28	\$105.15	\$46.34	\$45.53	-1.2%	-2.4%
Edmonton, AB	6,289	47.6%	46.9%	\$97.84	\$95.89	\$46.57	\$44.97	3.2%	4.9%
Alberta Area	9,932	48.9%	45.8%	\$90.13	\$85.25	\$44.07	\$39.04	2.0%	8.8%
Mountain Regions, AB	2,150	53.4%	48.1%	\$197.79	\$197.46	\$105.62	\$94.98	0.9%	11.9%
Vancouver, BC	13,046	48.5%	44.4%	\$106.68	\$99.79	\$51.74	\$44.31	-1.1%	8.1%
British Columbia Area	9,711	40.0%	39.5%	\$122.04	\$114.09	\$48.82	\$45.07	0.4%	1.7%
Victoria, BC	3,249	34.8%	36.4%	\$82.52	\$79.05	\$28.72	\$28.77	3.1%	-1.5%
Provinces									
Alberta	23,787	47.6%	45.7%	\$106.97	\$102.97	\$50.92	\$47.06	1.6%	5.7%
British Columbia	27,570	45.2%	42.8%	\$124.11	\$117.97	\$56.10	\$50.49	0.6%	6.4%
Manitoba	4,722	45.8%	44.1%	\$83.80	\$82.57	\$38.38	\$36.41	0.0%	3.7%
New Brunswick	2,810	39.5%	39.3%	\$86.85	\$80.48	\$34.31	\$31.63	0.0%	0.5%
Newfoundland	1,521	40.1%	47.8%	\$99.79	\$99.52	\$40.02	\$47.57	0.0%	-16.1%
Nova Scotia	3,684	37.9%	36.3%	\$92.77	\$90.64	\$35.16	\$32.90	1.2%	5.8%
Northwest Territories	66	INS	INS	INS	INS	INS	INS	INS	INS
Ontario	67,047	43.4%	42.9%	\$109.24	\$105.56	\$47.41	\$45.29	0.9%	2.1%
Prince Edward Island	889	26.4%	25.3%	\$60.46	\$59.26	\$15.96	\$14.99	0.0%	4.4%
Quebec	23,135	45.5%	43.4%	\$119.17	\$116.07	\$54.22	\$50.37	0.7%	5.6%
Saskatchewan	5,723	40.3%	39.9%	\$79.01	\$77.63	\$31.84	\$30.97	0.5%	1.4%
Yukon Territory	181	INS	INS	INS	INS	INS	INS	INS	INS
Canada	161,135	41.7%	40.3%	\$95.13	\$91.98	\$39.67	\$37.07	0.8%	4.2%

CANADIAN LODGING OUTLOOK HVS INTERNATIONAL - CANADA

December 2004 Year-to-Date	Number of Rooms	Occupancy Rate (%)		Average Room Rates (\$)		RevPAR (\$)		Room Supply % change	Room Demand % change
		2004	2003	2004	2003	2004	2003		
Nova Scotia Area	1,515	57.4%	58.3%	\$81.72	\$82.61	\$46.91	\$48.16	0.6%	-1.0%
Halifax, NS	2,169	68.6%	71.4%	\$126.44	\$124.08	\$86.74	\$88.59	0.9%	-3.0%
Montreal, QC	14,778	63.1%	61.8%	\$117.92	\$118.17	\$74.41	\$73.03	0.5%	2.7%
Quebec City, QC	3,871	64.1%	61.2%	\$136.49	\$132.76	\$87.49	\$81.25	0.5%	5.3%
Quebec Area	3,383	56.4%	55.0%	\$92.07	\$90.63	\$51.93	\$49.85	0.4%	3.0%
Toronto Downtown	12,287	68.9%	58.4%	\$155.77	\$144.46	\$107.33	\$84.36	-0.6%	17.4%
Toronto North/East	6,825	58.8%	51.8%	\$109.29	\$106.81	\$64.26	\$55.33	-0.3%	13.2%
Toronto Airport/West	7,248	67.5%	61.8%	\$108.05	\$106.77	\$72.93	\$65.98	0.3%	9.5%
Ottawa, ON	7,937	62.4%	61.6%	\$125.90	\$125.03	\$78.56	\$77.02	0.7%	2.1%
Ontario East	3,839	54.8%	53.5%	\$94.05	\$92.46	\$51.54	\$49.47	0.0%	2.6%
Ontario Southwest	8,692	53.8%	54.8%	\$88.82	\$86.97	\$47.79	\$47.66	2.2%	0.2%
Ontario North	5,663	55.2%	54.9%	\$82.25	\$82.54	\$45.40	\$45.31	0.0%	0.6%
Niagara Falls, ON	7,489	54.7%	50.8%	\$129.50	\$114.69	\$70.84	\$58.26	4.9%	12.9%
Ontario Central	8,170	57.8%	57.3%	\$101.27	\$99.65	\$58.53	\$57.10	1.4%	2.3%
Winnipeg, MB	4,014	60.4%	58.2%	\$89.55	\$89.39	\$54.09	\$52.02	0.0%	3.8%
Regina/Saskatoon, SK	4,055	57.4%	58.0%	\$88.91	\$86.87	\$51.03	\$50.38	0.0%	-1.2%
Calgary, AB	5,416	64.7%	60.8%	\$118.52	\$113.62	\$76.68	\$69.08	-1.3%	5.0%
Edmonton, AB	6,289	62.0%	61.0%	\$99.64	\$97.15	\$61.78	\$59.26	3.3%	5.0%
Alberta Area	9,932	61.5%	59.1%	\$93.01	\$89.83	\$57.20	\$53.09	2.1%	6.3%
Mountain Regions, AB	2,150	66.0%	61.3%	\$237.77	\$230.90	\$156.93	\$141.54	0.7%	8.3%
Vancouver, BC	13,046	66.6%	61.4%	\$130.49	\$127.87	\$86.91	\$78.51	-0.1%	8.5%
British Columbia Area	9,711	50.3%	49.8%	\$98.79	\$96.56	\$49.69	\$48.09	0.6%	1.5%
Victoria, BC	3,249	55.2%	55.3%	\$104.09	\$99.81	\$57.46	\$55.19	2.2%	2.1%
Provinces									
Alberta	23,787	62.9%	60.2%	\$115.20	\$111.14	\$72.46	\$66.91	1.6%	6.1%
British Columbia	27,570	60.2%	57.3%	\$123.95	\$121.69	\$74.62	\$69.73	0.8%	5.9%
Manitoba	4,722	59.9%	58.1%	\$87.53	\$87.22	\$52.43	\$50.67	0.0%	3.1%
New Brunswick	2,810	60.0%	60.7%	\$99.10	\$96.12	\$59.46	\$58.34	0.7%	-0.5%
Newfoundland	1,521	63.9%	66.1%	\$112.03	\$109.49	\$71.59	\$72.37	0.0%	-3.3%
Nova Scotia	3,684	63.7%	65.4%	\$108.66	\$107.15	\$69.22	\$70.08	0.7%	-2.0%
Northwest Territories	66	INS	INS	INS	INS	INS	INS	INS	INS
Ontario	67,047	60.7%	57.1%	\$118.04	\$112.49	\$71.65	\$64.23	1.0%	7.2%
Prince Edward Island	889	51.0%	51.4%	\$101.35	\$98.44	\$51.69	\$50.60	0.0%	-0.8%
Quebec	23,135	63.1%	60.8%	\$130.29	\$129.00	\$82.21	\$78.43	0.4%	4.1%
Saskatchewan	5,723	55.3%	55.9%	\$82.89	\$81.29	\$45.84	\$45.44	0.4%	-0.8%
Yukon Territory	181	INS	INS	INS	INS	INS	INS	INS	INS
Canada	161,135	57.2%	55.5%	\$101.68	\$98.53	\$58.16	\$54.68	0.8%	3.8%

CANADIAN LODGING OUTLOOK HVS INTERNATIONAL - CANADA

normal so the operator would not be terminated for one poor performance year.

Some contracts, particularly those that define a level of profitability, contain provisions allowing the operator to cure the default by either giving or lending the owner sufficient funds to make up the difference between the stipulated level of net income defined in the standards and the actual level. This allows hotel management companies to avoid a default in the event they just missed meeting the standard.

While performance standards can be very specific, there should be a list of exceptions that allow an operator to perform below a standard without default in the event there are circumstances beyond the operator's control. This is what attorneys call force majeure and includes things like floods, hurricanes, war and strikes. Performance exceptions need to be defined carefully. Usually, having the default period described above provides the operator sufficient time to recover from an unusual event and therefore reduces the need for a long list of exceptions.

There is nothing worse than being stuck with an under performing hotel that easily could be turned around with competent management. Performance clauses should always be included in a hotel management contract. In fact, I often suggest that an owner give the operator a slightly higher fee as a trade off for allowing a more restrictive performance standard.

DEFINITIONS

Occupancy:	Rooms sold divided by rooms available.
Room Revenue:	Total room revenue generated from the sale or rental of rooms.
Average Daily Rate (ADR):	Room revenue divided by rooms sold.
Room Revenue Per Available Room (RevPAR):	Room revenue divided by rooms available (occupancy times average room rate will closely approximate RevPAR).

*If you have any questions regarding this publication please send a message to bmacdonald@hvsinternational.com
Web Site: <http://www.hvsinternational.com>*



Vancouver Office
4235 Prospect Road
North Vancouver, BC
Canada V7N 3L6
(604) 988-9743
(604) 988-4625 fax

Toronto Office
6 Victoria Street
Toronto, ON
Canada M5E 1L4
(416) 686-2260
(416) 686-2264 fax

HVS is the leading consulting and appraisal firm specializing solely in the hospitality industry. **HVS** personnel have university degrees in Hotel Administration, or actual hotel work experience, and are taking further courses of study to obtain recognized real estate designations. **HVS** has consulted for over 10,000 hotels in 55 countries.



SMITH TRAVEL RESEARCH

STR provides information and analysis to all major Canadian and U.S. hotel chains. Individual hotels, management companies, appraisers, consultants, investors, lenders and other lodging industry analysts also rely on STR data for the accuracy they require. With the most comprehensive database of hotel performance information ever compiled, STR has developed a variety of products and services to meet the needs of industry leaders.

OFFICE:
735 E. Main St.,
Hendersonville, TN 37075
(615) 824-8664



HOTEL ASSOCIATION OF CANADA INC.

The Hotel Association of Canada is a federation of provincial and territorial associations, hotel chains and suppliers with a mandate to represent members nationally and internationally and to provide cost-effective services that stimulate and encourage a free market accommodation industry.

OFFICE:
Anthony Pollard,
1206-130 Albert Street,
Ottawa, Ontario K1P 5G4
(613) 237-7149